

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DA		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,232	10/748,232 12/31/2003		Mitsuo Watanabe	031337 7120		
23850	7590	10/19/2005		EXAMINER		
ARMSTR 1725 K STI	•	RATZ, QUINTOS, I	FRECH, KARL D			
SUITE 100		<b>,</b>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	TON, DO	20006	2876			
			DATE MAH ED. 10/10/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summan	10/748,232		WATANABE ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Karl D. Frech		2876					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECEPTION OF THE MAILING CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING CHEVER IS LONGER OF CHEVER IS A CHEVER IN THE MAILING CHEVER IS STATED THE MAILING CHEVER IS A CHEVER IN THE MAILING CHEVER IN THE MAILING CHEVER IS A CHEVER IN THE MAILING CHEVER IN THE	DATE OF THIS ( R 1.136(a). In no event, h riod will apply and will exp atute, cause the application	COMMUNICATION by the company of the	I.  sely filed  the mailing date of this c  (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	7 July 2005.							
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
´—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicati	ion.	•						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) 🗌 o	bjected to by the E	Examiner.					
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if	the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).				
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore			-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
				-					
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	<sub>(08)</sub> 5) [	Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
	r No(s)/Mail Date		Other:	<del></del>	- · · <del> ,</del>				

Application/Control Number: 10/748,232 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876

1. Applicant's response filed 7/27/05 has been considered.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Connolly et al US 2002/0017567A1 in view of Wenner et al US 6,225,141B1 and Reddersen et al US 2004/0065741A1. As seen in the previous Office Action, Connelly discloses a bar code reader that includes a decoder 7a [0078]. The decoded information emanating from the decoder 7a is passed by wireless link from the radio 7b (RF communication means) to a second peripheral module... [0079]. At the second peripheral module, the signal is digitized and processed by processing circuitry 9c [0080]. There is also disclosed a memory device 9h and a touch screen keypad 9f [0080]. It is disclosed that the bar code is read by means of a raster scanner [0161], i.e.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,232 Page 3

Art Unit: 2876

a position shifting irradiation laser beam. Connolly does not disclose the bar code label on the individual circuit boards within the bar code reader. Also as seen in the previous Office Action, Wenner discloses in column 3 line 25 that a label containing a unique bar code is applied to individual circuit boards. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to label the internal circuit boards of Connolly as taught by Wenner. Also as taught by Wenner in column 3 line 26-27 this would facilitate identification of the circuit boards during manufacturing. Connolly and Wenner as combined do not disclose the dedicated reader management storage unit as now specifically claimed. The newly applied reference to Reddersen discloses reading a bar code label which is read to configure the reading system. The data read from the bar code is stored in a non-volatile memory. [0052]. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to store configuration data in a dedicated memory as taught by Reddersen, in the system of Connolly/Wenner. This would help to ensure that the configuration data and operating program/system was not accidentally corrupted.

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/748,232 Page 4

Art Unit: 2876

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D. Frech whose telephone number is (571) 272-2390. The examiner can normally be reached on maxi-flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl D Frech Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876

\*\*\*